IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MUMBAI

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2122 OF 2005

(C.R.no.463 of 2004)

Mr.Bhaskar Mahadeo Patil ... Applicant

vs.

The State of Maharashtra. ... Respondent.

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2123 OF 2005

(C.R.no.191 of 2004)

Mr.Bhaskar Mahadeo Patil ... Applicant

vs.

The State of Maharashtra. ... Respondent.

Mr.M.K.Kocharekar, for the Applicant.

Mr.V.B.Konde-Deshmukh, A.P.P. for the State.

CORAM: A.M.Khanwilkar,J.

DATED: 4th April, 2005.

P.C.:-

1. Heard learned Counsel for both the parties. Learned A.P.P. fairly accepts, on instructions, that the co-accused who has a prime role in the commission of offence has already been released on bail by the Sessions court on 3rd February,2005 in both the criminal cases pending against the parties. That order has been accepted by the police authorities. If it is so, on parity, the applicant deserves to be enlarged on bail. Accordingly, both these applications are allowed on the following terms:-

2. The applicant is granted bail in connection with C.R.no.463 of 2004 registered with the Vakola Police Station as also in connection with C.R.no.191 of 2004 registered with the Bandra-Kurla Police Station, on executing separate personal bond in the sum of Rs.20,000/- in the respective cases, with one or two sureties in the like amount.

3. The applicant shall not influence the prosecution witnesses and shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence in any manner whatsoever.

4. The applicant shall report to the concerned police stations once in a month on every 1st Saturday of English Calender month between 10 a.m. To 2 p.m.

5. The applicant shall give full co-operation for the early disposal of the respective cases as a condition of the bail.

6. If the trial Court is of opinion that the applicant is responsible for causing delay in early conclusion of the trial, that fact may be recorded in the roznama which will be reckoned for cancellation of bail.

Applications are disposed of.

4.4.2005 ---